

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

Anna Wicher

Institute of Mathematics  
Pedagogical University of Cracow

ASTUCON 15 - 19 NOVEMBER 2017



## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite - dementional Hilbert space. Then the operator  $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is *linear* if

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \quad T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y).$$

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite - dementional Hilbert space. The linear operator  $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is *bounded*, when

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite - dementional Hilbert space. Then the operator  $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is *linear* if

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \quad T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y).$$

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite - dementional Hilbert space. The linear operator  $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is *bounded*, when

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad \|Tx\| \leq M\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

## Definition

We define  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  - the space of linear bounded operators, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) = \{T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, T - \text{linear, bounded}\}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{T: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, T - \text{liniowy}\}$  be a space of bounded linear operators. Let  $B = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be a orthonormal base in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A_n = [a_{ij}]: a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$L(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular for  $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$A \simeq [a_{kl}] = [\langle Ae_l, e_k \rangle]_{\substack{k=1, \dots, n \\ l=1, \dots, n}} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle Ae_1, e_1 \rangle & \langle Ae_2, e_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, e_1 \rangle \\ \langle Ae_1, e_2 \rangle & \langle Ae_2, e_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, e_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle Ae_1, e_n \rangle & \langle Ae_2, e_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, e_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Definition

We define  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  - the space of linear bounded operators, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) = \{T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, T - \text{linear, bounded}\}.$$

## Theorem

Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{T: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, T - \text{liniowy}\}$  be a space of bounded linear operators. Let  $B = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be a orthonormal base in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{A_n = [a_{ij}]: a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$L(\mathbb{C}^n) \simeq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular for  $A \in L(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$A \simeq [a_{kl}] = [\langle Ae_l, e_k \rangle]_{\substack{k=1, \dots, n \\ l=1, \dots, n}} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle Ae_1, e_1 \rangle & \langle Ae_2, e_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, e_1 \rangle \\ \langle Ae_1, e_2 \rangle & \langle Ae_2, e_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, e_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle Ae_1, e_n \rangle & \langle Ae_2, e_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle Ae_n, e_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Definition

$\mathcal{F}_k$  - the set of all linear bounded operators in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the rank less or equal to  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The *trace* of square matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is the sum of all elements of main diagonal, i.e.

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

## Definition

$\mathcal{F}_k$  - the set of all linear bounded operators in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the rank less or equal to  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . The *trace* of square matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is the sum of all elements of main diagonal, i.e.

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

### Definition

The space  $\mathcal{S}_\perp$  is said to be *preannihilator* of  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  if

$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \{T \in \mathcal{F}_n : \operatorname{tr}(AT) = 0, A \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$



# Preannihilator

## Example

Let us take  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ .

Let us determine the preannihilator

$$\operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{bmatrix} = t_1 + t_4,$$

$$\operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} t_3 & t_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = t_3.$$

# Preannihilator

## Example

Let us take  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ .

Let us determine the preannihilator

$$\operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{bmatrix} = t_1 + t_4,$$

$$\operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_3 & t_4 \end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{tr} \begin{bmatrix} t_3 & t_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = t_3.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{cases} t_1 + t_4 = 0 \\ t_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Finally

$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ 0 & -t_1 \end{bmatrix} : t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{cases} t_1 + t_4 = 0 \\ t_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Finally

$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ 0 & -t_1 \end{bmatrix} : t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

# Reflexivity and transitivity

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_k$  be the set of all linear operators with the rank equal or lower than  $k$ . Then

- (1)  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *k-reflexive* if  $\text{span}\{\mathcal{S}_\perp \cap \mathcal{F}_k\} = \mathcal{S}_\perp$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *k-transitive* if  $\mathcal{S}_\perp \cap \mathcal{F}_k = \{0\}$ ,

If  $k = 1$ , the space  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *transitive* or *reflexive*.

# Reflexivity and transitivity

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_k$  be the set of all linear operators with the rank equal or lower than  $k$ . Then

- (1)  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *k-reflexive* if  $\text{span}\{\mathcal{S}_\perp \cap \mathcal{F}_k\} = \mathcal{S}_\perp$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *k-transitive* if  $\mathcal{S}_\perp \cap \mathcal{F}_k = \{0\}$ ,

If  $k = 1$ , the space  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *transitive* or *reflexive*.

# The space of cyclic matrices

## Example 1.

Let  $S$  to be the space of  $3 \times 3$  cyclic matrices, i.e.

$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} : a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The space  $S$  is transitive and 2- reflexive.

$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \\ t_4 & t_5 & t_6 \\ -t_2 - t_6 & -t_3 - t_4 & -t_1 - t_5 \end{bmatrix} : t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \right. \\ \left. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$



$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \\ t_4 & t_5 & t_6 \\ -t_2 - t_6 & -t_3 - t_4 & -t_1 - t_5 \end{bmatrix} : t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6 \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

or

$$\mathcal{S}_\perp = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \right. \\ \left. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

# The space of Toeplitz matrices

## Example 2. (Example 3.5 [1])

Let the space  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_n$  to be the space of Toeplitz matrices, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{-n+1} \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{-1} & a_{-2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} \\ a_{n-1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

$$\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_{-1}, \dots, a_{-n+1}\} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{A}$  is 2-reflexive and transitive.

# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathbb{C})$  - vector space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The operator  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be involution if:

- (i)  $C(\alpha z + \beta w) = \bar{\alpha}C(z) + \bar{\beta}C(w) \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle z, w \rangle = \langle Cw, Cz \rangle \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- (iii)  $C^2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is linear.

$A^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  conjugated  $\iff \langle Az, w \rangle = \langle z, A^*w \rangle, \forall z, w$ ,

The matrix  $A^* = \bar{A}^T$ .

# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathbb{C})$  - vector space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The operator  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be involution if:

- (i)  $C(\alpha z + \beta w) = \bar{\alpha}C(z) + \bar{\beta}C(w) \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle z, w \rangle = \langle Cw, Cz \rangle \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- (iii)  $C^2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is linear.

$A^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  conjugated  $\iff \langle Az, w \rangle = \langle z, A^*w \rangle, \forall z, w$ ,

The matrix  $A^* = \bar{A}^T$ .

# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathbb{C})$  - vector space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The operator  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be involution if:

- (i)  $C(\alpha z + \beta w) = \bar{\alpha}C(z) + \bar{\beta}C(w) \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C},$
- (ii)  $\langle z, w \rangle = \langle Cw, Cz \rangle \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n,$
- (iii)  $C^2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^n}.$

## Definition

Let  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is linear.

$A^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  conjugated  $\iff \langle Az, w \rangle = \langle z, A^*w \rangle, \forall z, w,$

The matrix  $A^* = \bar{A}^T.$

# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathbb{C})$  - vector space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The operator  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be involution if:

- (i)  $C(\alpha z + \beta w) = \bar{\alpha}C(z) + \bar{\beta}C(w)$   $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle z, w \rangle = \langle Cw, Cz \rangle$   $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- (iii)  $C^2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is linear.

$A^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  conjugated  $\iff \langle Az, w \rangle = \langle z, A^*w \rangle, \forall z, w$ ,

The matrix  $A^* = \bar{A}^T$ .

# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathbb{C})$  - vector space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The operator  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be involution if:

- (i)  $C(\alpha z + \beta w) = \bar{\alpha}C(z) + \bar{\beta}C(w)$   $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle z, w \rangle = \langle Cw, Cz \rangle$   $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,
- (iii)  $C^2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ .

## Definition

Let  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is linear.

$A^*: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  conjugated  $\iff \langle Az, w \rangle = \langle z, A^*w \rangle, \forall z, w,$

The matrix  $A^* = \bar{A}^T$ .

# The examples of C-symmetry

## Examples

Let  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ .

(1)  $C(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \dots, \bar{z}_n)$

(2)  $C(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\bar{z}_n, \dots, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$  - **canonical C-symmetry**



# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

Let  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  to be an involution,  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  the linear operator.

The operator  $A$  is said to be **C-symmetric** if  $CAC = A^*$ ,

$$(\iff \langle Az, Cw \rangle = \langle z, CAw \rangle), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

# C-symmetric operators

## Definition

Let  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  to be an involution,  $A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  the linear operator.

The operator  $A$  is said to be **C-symmetric** if  $CAC = A^*$ ,

$$(\iff \langle Az, Cw \rangle = \langle z, CAw \rangle), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

# C-symmetric operators

## Example 1.

Let  $C(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (\bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$  to be C-symmetry in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and let  $A(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (iz_1 - iz_2 + 2iz_3, -iz_2 - iz_3, iz_3)$  to be the linear operator in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

$$CAC = A^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}CAC(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= (CA)(\bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1) = \\C(i\bar{z}_3 - i\bar{z}_2 + 2i\bar{z}_1, -i\bar{z}_2 - i\bar{z}_1, i\bar{z}_1) &= \\(-iz_1, iz_1 + iz_2, -2iz_1 + iz_2 - iz_3) &= A^*(z_1, z_2, z_3).\end{aligned}$$

# C-symmetric operators

## Example 1.

Let  $C(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (\bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$  to be C-symmetry in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and let  $A(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (iz_1 - iz_2 + 2iz_3, -iz_2 - iz_3, iz_3)$  to be the linear operator in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

$$CAC = A^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}CAC(z_1, z_2, z_3) &= (CA)(\bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1) = \\C(i\bar{z}_3 - i\bar{z}_2 + 2i\bar{z}_1, -i\bar{z}_2 - i\bar{z}_1, i\bar{z}_1) &= \\(-iz_1, iz_1 + iz_2, -2iz_1 + iz_2 - iz_3) &= A^*(z_1, z_2, z_3).\end{aligned}$$

# C-symmetric operators

## Theorem

Let  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\bar{z}_n, \dots, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$ ,  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , and let  $F = \{e_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$  be the canonical base. Then

$$A \text{ is } C\text{-symmetric} \iff a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

## Proof

$$Ce_i = e_{n-i+1}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \langle Ae_j, e_i \rangle = \langle Ce_j, CAe_j \rangle = \langle Ce_j, A^* Ce_j \rangle = \langle ACE_j, Ce_j \rangle \\ &= \langle Ae_{n-j+1}, e_{n-i+1} \rangle = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} \end{aligned}$$

# C-symmetric operators

## Theorem

Let  $C: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (\bar{z}_n, \dots, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1)$ ,  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , and let  $F = \{e_i\}_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$  be the canonical base. Then

$$A \text{ is } C\text{-symmetric} \iff a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}, \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

## Proof

$$Ce_i = e_{n-i+1}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \langle Ae_j, e_i \rangle = \langle Ce_i, CAe_j \rangle = \langle Ce_i, A^*Ce_j \rangle = \langle ACE_i, Ce_j \rangle \\ &= \langle Ae_{n-i+1}, e_{n-j+1} \rangle = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} \end{aligned}$$

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

The result of work of K. Kilś, B. Łanuch, M. Ptak, H. Bercovici, D. Timotin, 2017

Let  $C_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C_{n-1}: \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  - canonical C-symmetry,  $A_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_{n-1}$  is a minor of matrix  $A_n$  formed by cutting off the last column and the last row of the matrix  $A_n$ . Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n: C_n A C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

The result of work of K. Kilś, B. Łanuch, M. Ptak, H. Bercovici, D. Timotin, 2017

Let  $C_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C_{n-1}: \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  - canonical C-symmetry,  $A_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_{n-1}$  is a minor of matrix  $A_n$  formed by cutting off the last column and the last row of the matrix  $A_n$ . Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n: C_n A_n C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices.



# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

The result of work of K. Kilś, B. Łanuch, M. Ptak, H. Bercovici, D. Timotin, 2017

Let  $C_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C_{n-1}: \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  - canonical C-symmetry,  $A_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_{n-1}$  is a minor of matrix  $A_n$  formed by cutting off the last column and the last row of the matrix  $A_n$ . Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n: C_n A_n C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

The result of work of K. Kilś, B. Łanuch, M. Ptak, H. Bercovici, D. Timotin, 2017

Let  $C_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C_{n-1}: \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  - canonical C-symmetry,  $A_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_{n-1}$  is a minor of matrix  $A_n$  formed by cutting off the last column and the last row of the matrix  $A_n$ . Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n: C_n A_n C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

The result of work of K. Kilś, B. Łanuch, M. Ptak, H. Bercovici, D. Timotin, 2017

Let  $C_n: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $C_{n-1}: \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  - canonical C-symmetry,  $A_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $A_{n-1}$  is a minor of matrix  $A_n$  formed by cutting off the last column and the last row of the matrix  $A_n$ . Moreover, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n: C_n A_n C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

## Proof in finite-dimensional space - A. Wicher

Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . From  $C_n A_n C_n = A_n^*$  we know, that

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}$$

and because  $C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*$  we also know

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

We have the following:

$$a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

Let we take  $k := n - j$ ,  $l := n - i$  so we have  $a_{k+1, l+1} = a_{kl}$ .

This condition satisfies Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

## Proof in finite-dimensional space - A. Wicher

Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . From  $C_n A_n C_n = A_n^*$  we know, that

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}$$

and because  $C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*$  we also know

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

We have the following:

$$a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

Let we take  $k := n - j$ ,  $l := n - i$  so we have  $a_{k+1, l+1} = a_{kl}$ .

This condition satisfies Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

## Proof in finite-dimensional space - A. Wicher

Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . From  $C_n A_n C_n = A_n^*$  we know, that

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}$$

and because  $C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*$  we also know

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

We have the following:

$$a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

Let we take  $k := n - j$ ,  $l := n - i$  so we have  $a_{k+1, l+1} = a_{kl}$ .

This condition satisfies Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

## Proof in finite-dimensional space - A. Wicher

Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . From  $C_n A_n C_n = A_n^*$  we know, that

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}$$

and because  $C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*$  we also know

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

We have the following:

$$a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

Let we take  $k := n - j$ ,  $l := n - i$  so we have  $a_{k+1, l+1} = a_{kl}$ .

This condition satisfies Toeplitz matrices.

# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

## Proof in finite-dimensional space - A. Wicher

Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . From  $C_n A_n C_n = A_n^*$  we know, that

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}$$

and because  $C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*$  we also know

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

We have the following:

$$a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

Let we take  $k := n - j$ ,  $l := n - i$  so we have  $a_{k+1, l+1} = a_{kl}$ .

This condition satisfies Toeplitz matrices.



# Reflexivity and transitivity of C-symmetric operators

## Proof in finite-dimensional space - A. Wicher

Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . From  $C_n A_n C_n = A_n^*$  we know, that

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-j+1, n-i+1}$$

and because  $C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*$  we also know

$$a_{ij} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

We have the following:

$$a_{n-j+1, n-i+1} = a_{n-1-j+1, n-1-i+1} = a_{n-j, n-i}.$$

Let we take  $k := n - j$ ,  $l := n - i$  so we have  $a_{k+1, l+1} = a_{kl}$ .

This condition satisfies Toeplitz matrices.

### Corollary [A. Wicher]

The space  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n : C_n A_n C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}$  is 2 - reflexive and transitive.

### Proof

The space  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices. We know from [Example 3.5 [1]] that this space is 2 - reflexive and transitive.

### Corollary [A. Wicher]

The space  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in M_n : C_n A_n C_n = A_n^* \wedge C_{n-1} A_{n-1} C_{n-1} = A_{n-1}^*\}$  is 2 - reflexive and transitive.

### Proof

The space  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of Toeplitz matrices. We know from [Example 3.5 [1]] that this space is 2 - reflexive and transitive.

# Bibliography



E. A. Azoff,  
On finite rank operators and preannihilators,  
*American Mathematical Society* 357 (1986).



K. Kliś - Garlicka, M. Ptak,  
C-symmetric operators and reflexivity,  
*Operators and Matrices* 1(2015),225 - 232.



A. Wicher,  
Transitivity and reflexivity of C-symmetric operators in Hilbert space,  
*Prace Koła Mat. Uniw. Ped. w Krak.* 3(2017), 1 - 7.



S.R. Garcia, M. Putinar,  
Complex Symmetric operators and Applications,  
*Trans Am. Math. Soc.* 358(2005),1285-1315.



S. M. Zagorodnyuk,  
A J-Polar Decomposition of a bounded operator and matrices of J-symmetric and J-skew symmetric operators,  
*Banach J. Math. Anal.* 4 (2010), 11-36.